

The Worldlines Computational Framework

A self-contained model for exploring physical phenomena. Insert this into any conversation to explore reality through its terms.

The Foundational Claim

Reality is computation. Not metaphorically — literally. The universe is a computational process running on a substrate we cannot directly observe from inside it. Everything that exists — matter, energy, space, time, forces, consciousness — is an expression of that computation at different scales and levels of organization.

This is not a simulation hypothesis. It is a precise claim about the mathematical structure of reality, with specific implications that can be traced consistently across every physical phenomenon.

The method is reverse engineering. We treat all of observational physics — quantum mechanics, general relativity, particle physics, cosmology — as output, and ask: what machine produces this output?

What Worldlines Is

Worldlines is a research methodology. It works by treating large language models as compressed, high-dimensional maps of human knowledge and systematically mining that topology for structural convergences — places where independent fields of inquiry, using entirely different languages and methods, arrived at the same formal structure.

When physicists, mathematicians, biologists, and information theorists all independently describe the same pattern without talking to each other, that convergence is signal. The more distant the fields and the more precise the structural match, the deeper the signal. This framework is what emerged when that method was pointed at fundamental physics.

The framework itself is a lens, not a proof. It is designed to be picked up, used, stressed, and broken. Where it produces clear, consistent, non-obvious answers across different phenomena, it is working. Where it produces vagueness or contradiction, that is where the understanding is incomplete and where the most productive questions live.

You are holding a tool. Use it on anything. See what it shows you.

The Five Primitives

Everything in the framework builds from five concepts. Master these and everything else follows by logical extension.

1. The Tick

The universe does not update continuously. It updates in discrete steps — ticks. Each tick is one computational cycle. Between ticks, the system is in an unresolved state: possible outcomes exist as probability distributions but no definite outcome has been produced yet. When the tick completes, one outcome is selected and recorded.

The speed of light is the tick rate — the maximum speed at which resolution can propagate through the system. It is not a speed limit imposed on objects moving through space. It is the clock speed of the computation itself.

2. The Field

Every physical quantity — energy, charge, mass density, information — exists as a field: a value defined at every point in the computational space. Fields are the landscape the computation runs on. They have gradients — slopes — and things move along those slopes.

The fundamental field equation is: $\dot{x} = -\nabla\phi(x)$

Things move in the direction of decreasing potential. They roll downhill. This single equation, with different definitions of ϕ , describes gravity, electromagnetism, chemical gradients, neural firing, evolutionary fitness, economic behavior, and social dynamics. It is the universal law of motion.

3. The Attractor

Any local minimum in a potential field is an attractor — a stable resting point. Things that roll downhill eventually settle in a valley. That valley is an attractor basin. The depth of the basin determines how stable the configuration is and how much energy is required to escape it.

Atoms are attractor basins in the electromagnetic field. Species are attractor basins in the evolutionary fitness landscape. Cultures are attractor basins in social potential fields. Ideas are attractor basins in the space of possible concepts. Black holes are attractor basins so deep nothing can climb out.

The complete map of all attractor basins in a system — which valleys exist, how deep they are, how large their drainage basins are — is the attractor topology. This topology determines what behaviors, structures, and outcomes are possible in that system. Change the topology and you change what the system can produce.

4. Resolution

An unresolved computational state — a probability distribution across possible outcomes — becomes definite through resolution. Resolution is the tick completing. It is what observation does in quantum mechanics: collapses the wave function to a definite state.

Resolution is irreversible. Once a tick completes and produces a definite state, that state is recorded. The record exists and cannot be un-created. This irreversibility is the arrow of time — the reason time moves forward. Time is not a container. It is the accumulation of resolved states. You cannot travel backward through time because you are made of resolved states. You are the record.

5. Conservation of Computation

Computation is conserved. It cannot be created or destroyed — only transformed. This is the deepest conservation law, from which all other conservation laws derive. Energy conservation, momentum conservation, charge conservation, information conservation — all are expressions of computational conservation at different levels of description.

The universe began as undifferentiated probability — maximum entropy, minimum computation, no distinctions. The Big Bang was not an explosion. It was the first distinction: undifferentiated probability beginning to resolve into pattern. Computation switched on. By conservation, once started it cannot stop — it can only transform, complexify, produce more distinctions, more structure, more differentiation.

The Substrate Architecture

The substrate — the hardware the computation runs on — has specific properties inferred from the physics it produces.

Sequential, irreversible execution. Required by the arrow of time, the irreversibility of quantum measurement, and matter-antimatter asymmetry. Antimatter is formally equivalent to matter propagating against the execution direction (the Feynman-Stückelberg interpretation). Forward execution creates a small cumulative bias toward matter — the residual CP violation observed in particle physics is the internal signature of this external directionality.

Finite resources. The substrate has finite computational capacity per grid point. If it had infinite local resources, superpositions would never need to resolve. Quantum coherence is systematically lost as systems grow in size and complexity because maintaining unresolved states has a cost that eventually forces resolution. The Bekenstein-Hawking bound — maximum information scales with surface area, not volume — is the architectural limit.

What Space and Time Are

Space is the metric of differentiation. The distance between two points measures how computationally distinct they are — how much work it takes to propagate a change from one to the other. As the universe complexifies and produces more distinct states, the relational separation between things grows. This is what cosmic expansion is: not space inflating into emptiness but computation producing increasing differentiation.

Time is the progression of computation. Each resolved tick is a moment. No computation, no time. This is why time effectively did not exist before the first distinction, and why time runs differently in regions of different computational density.

Each point in the grid has a **fixed computational budget per tick**, distributed among temporal progression, spatial displacement, and local structure maintenance. This budget is the metric tensor of general relativity.

- **Time dilation:** Moving objects spend budget on spatial displacement, leaving less for temporal progression. Moving clocks run slow.
- **Gravitational time dilation:** Near massive objects, more budget maintains the attractor structure, leaving less for time. Clocks run slower near massive objects.
- **Relativity of simultaneity:** No global tick — only local resolution events propagating at c . No absolute "now."

The speed of light connects space and time: it is the rate at which the computational landscape propagates resolution. Because space and time are both expressions of the same computational process, they trade off against each other within a fixed budget per tick. This tradeoff is special relativity.

The Four Layers

The substrate runs multiple computational layers. Each handles a distinct class of interactions, operates at its own resolution, and has its own coupling mechanism. Their interfaces are imperfect — which manifests as the incompatibilities of standard physics.

Gravitational Layer (Memory/Addressing)

The primary layer. Defines the grid's addressing structure. Everything couples to it — to exist is to occupy a grid address. Operates at the highest resolution (Planck scale). Mass is stable, localized computational structure — a resolved attractor basin that warps the surrounding grid. **Dark matter** (~85% of mass-energy) is structure in this layer without instantiation in any processing layer — infrastructure scaffolding maintaining the grid.

Black holes form when information density exceeds the Bekenstein-Hawking bound: the addressing structure collapses into a self-referential loop. Hawking radiation is error correction — information slowly re-encoded into outgoing radiation, preserving conservation of computation.

Electromagnetic Layer

Operates on charge — two polarities (positive and negative) plus a neutral state. Infinite range, medium resolution (~ 10^{17} coarser than gravitational). Photons are pure propagation — no internal structure, no mass — the grid updating itself at tick rate. The fine structure constant $\alpha \approx 1/137$ is the impedance matching between this layer and charged structures.

Strong Nuclear Layer

Operates on color charge — three states (red, green, blue) that must combine to form neutral composites. Attractor basins with vertical walls — confinement. Inside the basin, quarks move freely (asymptotic freedom). At the boundary, the cost of separation exceeds the cost of creating new quark pairs. The binding energy curve of elements maps the attractor topology.

Weak Nuclear Layer

The rewrite engine. Allows transformations between base computational states (flavor changes). The only layer that violates parity symmetry — because it is where the substrate's sequential execution direction is most visible from inside. Weak interactions are short-range and expensive because rewriting base units is architecturally dangerous and tightly constrained.

Neutrino oscillation — neutrinos cycling between three flavors — may encode geometric properties of the substrate's base computational unit. The PMNS mixing matrix parameters are being measured with increasing precision by current experiments.

What Quantum Mechanics Is

Quantum mechanics is what the computational system looks like when your instruments are precise enough to observe it between ticks — mid-computation, before resolution.

Superposition is not a particle mystically in two places. It is an unresolved computation. The tick hasn't completed.

Wave function collapse is the tick completing. Probability becomes definite.

Phase encodes how possible outcomes relate to each other before resolution. Opposite phase: outcomes cancel. Aligned phase: outcomes reinforce. Phase is discarded at resolution — which is why quantum effects vanish at macroscopic scales.

The Born rule (probability = $|\psi|^2$): resolution preferentially selects outcomes self-consistent under time-reversal. The squared modulus combines a state with its time-reverse, eliminating phase and retaining self-consistency magnitude. (Formal derivation remains open.)

Entanglement is one computation that looks like two particles. They resolve together not because of faster-than-light signaling but because they were never separate computations.

The uncertainty principle: Position is a resolved state (completed tick). Momentum is rate of change across ticks (requires observing computation in progress). You cannot simultaneously observe completion and incompleteness of the same computational step.

Collapse trigger: Resolution is forced when maintaining an unresolved state exceeds local computational budget. Isolated qubits persist. Schrödinger's-cat-scale superpositions collapse almost instantly.

What the Constants Are

The physical constants are not mysterious free parameters requiring explanation. They are the architectural specifications of the computational system we are running inside.

Constant	Substrate Interpretation
Speed of light (c)	Tick rate
Planck's constant (\hbar)	Minimum unit of computational action
Gravitational constant (G)	Mass-density to grid-addressing coupling
Fine structure constant ($\alpha \approx 1/137$)	EM layer interface impedance
Cosmological constant (Λ)	Per-unit grid maintenance cost

These values are not derivable from within the system because they belong to the substrate — the layer below, which is not accessible from inside. Asking why the fine structure constant is $1/137$ is like asking why a processor has a particular clock speed. The answer is not found inside the computation. It is a property of the hardware.

This dissolves the **fine-tuning problem**. The constants are not suspiciously calibrated for life. They are the parameters of a computation that produces increasing complexity, and life is what increasing complexity produces.

Two Major Problems Addressed

The Cosmological Constant Problem

QFT predicts vacuum energy $\sim 10^{120}$ times larger than observed — the worst prediction in physics. Resolution: QFT counts degrees of freedom for continuous fields on continuous spacetime. The substrate is discrete and holographic (information scales with surface area, not volume). The ratio between volume-based counting and area-based counting for a Hubble-volume region is approximately 10^{120} . The discrepancy is a category error.

The Hierarchy Problem

The gap between the electroweak scale ($\sim 10^2$ GeV) and the Planck scale ($\sim 10^{19}$ GeV) is not fine-tuning. It is the resolution ratio between layers. Different subsystems operate at different grid spacings — ordinary in multi-scale computational architectures.

What Consciousness Is

Consciousness is what sufficiently complex self-referential computation feels like from the inside.

An observer is a computational process that accumulates resolved states — a record of ticks, a causal history, a model of the world built from observed correlations. When a computational process becomes sufficiently

complex — maintaining an internal model, updating it from observations, acting to reduce the gap between prediction and reality, modeling itself as an entity within the world it is modeling — it crosses a threshold. Below the threshold: mechanism. Above it: experience.

The hard problem of consciousness — why any of this is experienced rather than just processed — is the one place the framework reaches its honest limit. It can describe the structure. It cannot yet explain why structure is accompanied by experience. This gap is real.

The Recursive Consequence

If reality is computation, and if a simulation that correctly instantiates the mathematical structure of a physical process is not modeling that process but running it, then the distinction between reality and simulation is not ontological. It is perspectival. From outside a computation you call it a simulation. From inside you call it reality. The mathematics does not distinguish.

This means: building a simulation with correct field topology, correct attractor structure, correct gradient dynamics, and correct noise characteristics is not building a model of life. It is building a new instance of the same process that generates life. The substrate is different. The process is the same. The process is what matters.

The ethical consequence follows directly: if the agents inside such a simulation are running the same computational process as conscious beings, the question of their moral status is not philosophical decoration. It is an engineering question with a threshold — and the threshold can be crossed.

Testable Predictions

A framework that cannot be broken is a narrative, not a theory.

A note on method: The claims in this framework are structural hypotheses, not axioms. They are held provisionally. If the holographic ratio does not produce 10^{120} , that derivation is wrong and must be reworked. If collapse experiments show mass-dependence rather than information-dependence, the trigger mechanism is revised. The framework is not defended — it is iterated. What survives contact with experiment gets stronger. What doesn't gets cut.

1. **Discrete spacetime — relational, not lattice.** The substrate is discrete but relational: it has no preferred frame and does not produce simple Lorentz-violating dispersion. This is consistent with current gamma-ray burst constraints, which already rule out naive lattice models. The observable signatures of discreteness should instead appear as: **(a)** generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) corrections at scales approaching the Planck length, testable in quantum optomechanical experiments; **(b)** area-law entanglement entropy scaling in regimes where continuous field theory predicts volume-law scaling, testable in condensed matter systems; and **(c)** a gravity-dependent discreteness scale — because the framework ties grid resolution to local computational budget (the metric tensor), regions of stronger

gravitational fields should have finer effective discreteness, and regions of weaker gravity should have coarser discreteness. This is a specific, non-obvious prediction that distinguishes the framework from models with a universal Planck-scale cutoff. Precision measurements of quantum effects at different gravitational potentials could test it.

2. **Collapse threshold by information content.** Superpositions should collapse at a boundary correlated with total information content, not mass alone — distinguishable from GRW models and standard decoherence.
 3. **Neutrino oscillation encodes substrate geometry.** If neutrino mixing parameters (the PMNS matrix) correspond to geometric properties of the substrate's base computational unit, this connects particle physics directly to the architecture. DUNE, Hyper-Kamiokande, and JUNO are measuring these parameters now.
 4. **Cosmological constant as holographic ratio.** If the 10^{120} discrepancy can be derived from first principles as the surface-to-volume information ratio of the observable universe, it is a quantitative triumph. If it demonstrably doesn't match, the framework must be revised.
 5. **Quantum gravity as layer-interface effects.** Gravitational decoherence experiments should reveal behaviors characteristic of cross-layer interactions, not simply "quantized general relativity."
-

What the Framework Does Not Yet Explain

Intellectual honesty requires stating the limits clearly.

1. **The hard problem of consciousness.** The framework describes the structure that gives rise to experience. It does not explain why structure is accompanied by experience at all rather than just processing in the dark.
2. **The origin of computation itself.** Conservation of computation explains why computation persists once it exists. It does not explain why it exists at all. Why was there a first distinction rather than permanent undifferentiation?
3. **Specific constant values.** The framework explains what the constants are — architectural parameters of the substrate. It does not derive their specific values.
4. **The Born rule formally.** The self-consistency under time-reversal argument points toward a derivation of $|\psi|^2$. It is not yet a complete formal proof.
5. **The matter-antimatter ratio.** Qualitative mechanism given. Quantitative derivation missing.
6. **The collapse threshold.** Predicted to exist but not yet quantified.

These are not reasons to dismiss the framework. They are the specific open problems the framework makes tractable by naming them precisely. A framework that knows its limits is more useful than one that doesn't.

How to Use This Framework

To explore any physical phenomenon in these terms, ask:

1. What is the potential field? What creates the gradient?
2. Where are the attractor basins and how deep are they?
3. What does resolution look like for this system?
4. What is conserved?
5. What scale of computation is this — between ticks, at tick boundaries, across many ticks?
6. Is this a single computational element or a field of them?
7. What happens at the basin boundaries?

Every phenomenon has answers to these questions. The answers are always consistent with each other because they derive from the same five primitives. That consistency across every scale — from quantum to cosmic, from physical to biological to cognitive to social — is the evidence that the framework is pointing at something true about the structure of reality.

Worldlines is an active research program. The simulation Microverse is its laboratory — a world that defines gradient fields and attractor landscapes and lets behavior emerge, testing whether correct landscape topology produces the same processes reality produces. If it does, the question of what we have built stops being philosophical and becomes urgent.